



Data Integration for high-resolution, continental- scale estimation of air pollution concentrations

Matthew Thomas

Supervised by Prof. Gavin Shaddick Joint work with Kees de Hoogh, Daniel Simpson and Jim Zidek

9th August 2017

590

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

OUTLINE

- Introduction
- Statistical modelling
- Results
- Summary and Future Work

Introduction



· ◆□▶ ◆@▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = 臣 = の�@

INTRODUCTION

- Air pollution has been identified as a global health priority.
- Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) is associated with some adverse health outcomes.
- Concentrations can vary considerably over short distances.
- WHO and EU guidelines:
 - annual averages should not exceed 40 μ gm⁻³.
- Estimation of health burden requires accurate estimates of exposures to air pollution
 - at local levels
 - with associated measures of uncertainty.

AIR POLLUTION IN EUROPE

- Information on exposures to air pollution traditionally comes from ground monitoring
- Density of networks vary considerably
 - biased towards urban and industrial areas.



Figure: Locations of ground monitors measuring NO₂ in 2010. Colours denote the annual average concentrations (μ gm⁻³) of NO₂

SQA

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

DATA FROM MULTIPLE SOURCES

- Ground monitoring data.
- Chemical transport models.
- Land use regression.
- Available on different resolutions
 - ground monitors (points)
 - chemical transport models (10km×10km)
 - land use regression (1km×1km).
- Estimates will be subject to uncertainties and biases.

э

ヘロト 人間 とく ヨン く ヨン

DATA FROM MULTIPLE SOURCES



Figure: (Left) Estimates of NO₂ from the MACC-II ENSEMBLE chemical transport model for each grid cell ($10 \text{km} \times 10 \text{km}$ resolution) and (Right) Length of all roads with each grid cell from EuroStreets ($1 \text{km} \times 1 \text{km}$ resolution).

Statistical Modelling



STATISTICAL CALIBRATION

▶ The aim is to calibrate ground monitor information, *Y*_s, with estimates from a chemical transport model, *X*_{*l*_s}, and land use regression, *W*_{qm_s}.

$$Y_s = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{l_s} + \sum_{p=1}^P \gamma_q W_{qm_s} + \epsilon_s$$

- This will allow us to predict surface NO₂ where there is no ground monitoring information.
- However, the relationship between ground monitors and chemical transport models may vary spatially.

STATISTICAL DOWNSCALING

- We need to account for within grid-cell variability.
- We extend the linear regression model so that coefficients can vary spatially

$$Y_s = \tilde{\beta}_{0s} + \tilde{\beta}_{1s} X_{l_s} + \sum_{p=1}^{p} \gamma_q W_{qm_s} + \epsilon_s$$

Coefficients, β̃_{0s} and β̃_{1s} are assigned spatial processes (Matérn class)

10/19 ▲□▶ ▲륜▶ ▲토▶ ▲토▶ 토 - 虳직관

COMPUTATION

- Bayesian hierarchical model.
- Integrated Nested Laplace Approximations (INLA), provide fast and efficient methods for latent Gaussian models.
- Use approximation to the continuous spatial field.
- ▶ Predictions at 1km × 1km = 3.5 million grid-cells.



Results



SAC

æ

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

PREDICTIONS



Figure: Median estimates of annual averages of NO₂ (μ gm⁻³) for 2010 for each grid cell (1km × 1km resolution).

SAC

э

□ > < @ > < @ > < @ > <

UNCERTAINTY



Figure: (Left) Half the width of 95% posterior credible intervals and (Right) Coefficient of variation for 2010 for each grid cell ($1 \text{km} \times 1 \text{km}$ resolution).

SAC

æ

(日)

EXCEEDANCES



Figure: Probability of exceeding 40 μ gm⁻³ for 2010 for each grid cell (1km × 1km resolution).

SAC

э

ヘロト 人間 とく ヨン く ヨン

MODEL COEFFICIENTS



Figure: Deviations from the mean (Left) intercept and (Right) slope associated with the CTM for 2010.

990

Summary

SUMMARY

Developed a model that

- integrates data from multiple sources
- integrates data from multiple resolutions
- produces high-resolution estimates of surface NO₂ with associated measures of uncertainty.
- Future work
 - Other pollutants such as PM_{2.5}.
 - Sensitivity of choice of mesh.
 - Extension to spatio-temporal models.
 - Burden of disease calculations.

Results

ANY QUESTIONS?



